

Austin Knudsen
Montana Attorney General
Michael D. Russell
Thane Johnson
Assistant Attorneys General
MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
PO Box 201401
Helena, MT 59620-1401
Phone: 406-444-2026
michael.russell@mt.gov
thane.johnson@mt.gov

Emily Jones
Special Assistant Attorney General
JONES LAW FIRM, PLLC
115 N. Broadway, Suite 410
Billings, MT 59101
Phone: 406-384-7990
emily@joneslawmt.com

Mark L. Stermitz
CROWLEY FLECK PLLP
305 S. 4th Street E., Suite 100
Missoula, MT 59801-2701
Phone: 406-523-3600
mstermitz@crowleyfleck.com
Attorneys for State of Montana

Selena Z. Sauer
CROWLEY FLECK PLLP
PO Box 759
Kalispell MT 59903-0759
Phone: 406-752-6644
ssauer@crowleyfleck.com

MONTANA FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, LEWIS AND CLARK COUNTY

<p>RIKKI HELD, et al.,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiffs,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p>STATE OF MONTANA, et al.,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>	<p>Cause No.: CDV-2020-307 Honorable Kathy Seeley</p> <p style="text-align: center;">DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY</p>
---	--

Defendants hereby submit this Notice of Supplemental Authority to inform the Court of the enactment of HB 971, whereby the Montana Legislature substantively amended Section 75-1-

201(2), MCA (the “MEPA Limitation”), one of the two statutes Plaintiffs challenge herein.¹ HB 971’s amendments to the MEPA Limitation are relevant to the Court’s consideration of Defendants’ pending Motion for Summary Judgment and potential additional motions practice. A copy of the enrolled version of HB 971 is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Dated this 10th day of May, 2023.

Austin Knudsen
MONTANA ATTORNEY GENERAL



Michael Russell
Assistant Attorney General
PO Box 201401
Helena, MT 59620-1401
michael.russell@mt.gov

Emily Jones
Special Assistant Attorney General
JONES LAW FIRM, PLLC
115 N. Broadway, Suite 410
Billings, MT 59101
emily@joneslawmt.com

Mark L. Stermitz
CROWLEY FLECK, PLLP
305 S. 4th Street E., Suite 100
Missoula, MT 59801-2701
mstermitz@crowleyfleck.com

Selena Z. Sauer
CROWLEY FLECK PLLP
PO Box 759
Kalispell, MT 59903-0759
ssauer@crowleyfleck.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS

¹ See the current status of HB 971 at <https://tinyurl.com/324np4ym>.

EXHIBIT A



AN ACT GENERALLY REVISING THE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT; CLARIFYING AND EXCLUDING THE USE OF GREENHOUSE GAS EVALUATIONS; PROVIDING AN APPROPRIATION; AMENDING SECTION 75-1-201, MCA; AND PROVIDING AN IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

Section 1. Section 75-1-201, MCA, is amended to read:

"75-1-201. General directions -- environmental impact statements. (1) The legislature authorizes and directs that, to the fullest extent possible:

(a) the policies, regulations, and laws of the state must be interpreted and administered in accordance with the policies set forth in parts 1 through 3;

(b) under this part, all agencies of the state, except the legislature and except as provided in subsections (2) and (3), shall:

(i) use a systematic, interdisciplinary approach that will ensure:

(A) the integrated use of the natural and social sciences and the environmental design arts in planning and in decisionmaking for a state-sponsored project that may have an impact on the Montana human environment by projects in Montana; and

(B) that in any environmental review that is not subject to subsection (1)(b)(iv), when an agency considers alternatives, the alternative analysis will be in compliance with the provisions of subsections (1)(b)(iv)(C)(I) and (1)(b)(iv)(C)(II) and, if requested by the project sponsor or if determined by the agency to be necessary, subsection (1)(b)(iv)(C)(III);

(ii) identify and develop methods and procedures that will ensure that presently unquantified environmental amenities and values may be given appropriate consideration in decisionmaking for state-sponsored projects, along with economic and technical considerations;

(iii) identify and develop methods and procedures that will ensure that state government actions that may impact the human environment in Montana are evaluated for regulatory restrictions on private property, as provided in subsection (1)(b)(iv)(D);

(iv) include in each recommendation or report on proposals for projects, programs, and other major actions of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment in Montana a detailed statement on:

(A) the environmental impact of the proposed action;

(B) any adverse effects on Montana's environment that cannot be avoided if the proposal is implemented;

(C) alternatives to the proposed action. An analysis of any alternative included in the environmental review must comply with the following criteria:

(I) any alternative proposed must be reasonable, in that the alternative must be achievable under current technology and the alternative must be economically feasible as determined solely by the economic viability for similar projects having similar conditions and physical locations and determined without regard to the economic strength of the specific project sponsor;

(II) the agency proposing the alternative shall consult with the project sponsor regarding any proposed alternative, and the agency shall give due weight and consideration to the project sponsor's comments regarding the proposed alternative;

(III) the agency shall complete a meaningful no-action alternative analysis. The no-action alternative analysis must include the projected beneficial and adverse environmental, social, and economic impact of the project's noncompletion.

(D) any regulatory impacts on private property rights, including whether alternatives that reduce, minimize, or eliminate the regulation of private property rights have been analyzed. The analysis in this subsection (1)(b)(iv)(D) need not be prepared if the proposed action does not involve the regulation of private property.

(E) the relationship between local short-term uses of the Montana human environment and the maintenance and enhancement of long-term productivity;

(F) any irreversible and irretrievable commitments of resources that would be involved in the

proposed action if it is implemented;

(G) the customer fiscal impact analysis, if required by 69-2-216; and

(H) the details of the beneficial aspects of the proposed project, both short-term and long-term, and the economic advantages and disadvantages of the proposal;

(v) in accordance with the criteria set forth in subsection (1)(b)(iv)(C), study, develop, and describe appropriate alternatives to recommend courses of action in any proposal that involves unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources. If the alternatives analysis is conducted for a project that is not a state-sponsored project and alternatives are recommended, the project sponsor may volunteer to implement the alternative. Neither the alternatives analysis nor the resulting recommendations bind the project sponsor to take a recommended course of action, but the project sponsor may agree pursuant to subsection (4)(b) to a specific course of action.

(vi) recognize the potential long-range character of environmental impacts in Montana and, when consistent with the policies of the state, lend appropriate support to initiatives, resolutions, and programs designed to maximize cooperation in anticipating and preventing a decline in the quality of Montana's environment;

(vii) make available to counties, municipalities, institutions, and individuals advice and information useful in restoring, maintaining, and enhancing the quality of Montana's environment;

(viii) initiate and use ecological information in the planning and development of resource-oriented projects; and

(ix) assist the legislature and the environmental quality council established by 5-16-101;

(c) prior to making any detailed statement as provided in subsection (1)(b)(iv), the responsible state official shall consult with and obtain the comments of any state agency that has jurisdiction by law or special expertise with respect to any environmental impact involved in Montana and with any Montana local government, as defined in 7-12-1103, that may be directly impacted by the project. The responsible state official shall also consult with and obtain comments from any state agency in Montana with respect to any regulation of private property involved. Copies of the statement and the comments and views of the appropriate state, federal, and local agencies that are authorized to develop and enforce environmental standards must be made available to the governor, the environmental quality council, and the public and must accompany the

proposal through the existing agency review processes.

(d) a transfer of an ownership interest in a lease, permit, license, certificate, or other entitlement for use or permission to act by an agency, either singly or in combination with other state agencies, does not trigger review under subsection (1)(b)(iv) if there is not a material change in terms or conditions of the entitlement or unless otherwise provided by law.

(2) (a) Except as provided in subsection (2)(b), an environmental review conducted pursuant to subsection (1) may not include ~~a review of actual or potential impacts beyond Montana's borders. It may not include actual or potential impacts that are regional, national, or global in nature~~ an evaluation of greenhouse gas emissions and corresponding impacts to the climate in the state or beyond the state's borders.

(b) An environmental review conducted pursuant to subsection (1) may include an evaluation if: a review of actual or potential impacts beyond Montana's borders if it is conducted by:

~~(i) the department of fish, wildlife, and parks for the management of wildlife and fish;~~

~~(ii) an agency reviewing an application for a project that is not a state-sponsored project to the extent that the review is required by law, rule, or regulation; or~~

~~(iii)(i) conducted jointly by a state agency and a federal agency to the extent the review is required by the federal agency; or~~

~~(ii) the United States congress amends the federal Clean Air Act to include carbon dioxide emissions as a regulated pollutant.~~

(3) The department of public service regulation, in the exercise of its regulatory authority over rates and charges of railroads, motor carriers, and public utilities, is exempt from the provisions of parts 1 through 3.

(4) (a) The agency may not withhold, deny, or impose conditions on any permit or other authority to act based on parts 1 through 3 of this chapter.

(b) Nothing in this subsection (4) prevents a project sponsor and an agency from mutually developing measures that may, at the request of a project sponsor, be incorporated into a permit or other authority to act.

(c) Parts 1 through 3 of this chapter do not confer authority to an agency that is a project sponsor to modify a proposed project or action.

(5) (a) (i) A challenge to an agency action under this part may only be brought against a final

agency action and may only be brought in district court or in federal court, whichever is appropriate.

(ii) Any action or proceeding challenging a final agency action alleging failure to comply with or inadequate compliance with a requirement under this part must be brought within 60 days of the action that is the subject of the challenge.

(iii) For an action taken by the board of land commissioners or the department of natural resources and conservation under Title 77, "final agency action" means the date that the board of land commissioners or the department of natural resources and conservation issues a final environmental review document under this part or the date that the board approves the action that is subject to this part, whichever is later.

(b) Any action or proceeding under subsection (5)(a)(ii) must take precedence over other cases or matters in the district court unless otherwise provided by law.

(c) Any judicial action or proceeding brought in district court under subsection (5)(a) involving an equine slaughter or processing facility must comply with 81-9-240 and 81-9-241.

(6) (a) (i) In an action alleging noncompliance or inadequate compliance with a requirement of parts 1 through 3, including a challenge to an agency's decision that an environmental review is not required or a claim that the environmental review is inadequate, the agency shall compile and submit to the court the certified record of its decision at issue, and except as provided in subsection (6)(b), the person challenging the decision has the burden of proving the claim by clear and convincing evidence contained in the record.

(ii) Except as provided in subsection (6)(b), in a challenge to the agency's decision or the adequacy of an environmental review, a court may not consider any information, including but not limited to an issue, comment, argument, proposed alternative, analysis, or evidence, that was not first presented to the agency for the agency's consideration prior to the agency's decision or within the time allowed for comments to be submitted.

(iii) Except as provided in subsection (6)(b), the court shall confine its review to the record certified by the agency. The court shall affirm the agency's decision or the environmental review unless the court specifically finds that the agency's decision was arbitrary and capricious or was otherwise not in accordance with law.

(iv) A customer fiscal impact analysis pursuant to 69-2-216 or an allegation that the customer fiscal impact analysis is inadequate may not be used as the basis of an action challenging or seeking review of the

agency's decision.

(b) (i) When a party challenging the decision or the adequacy of the environmental review or decision presents information not in the record certified by the agency, the challenging party shall certify under oath in an affidavit that the information is new, material, and significant evidence that was not publicly available before the agency's decision and that is relevant to the decision or the adequacy of the agency's environmental review.

(ii) If upon reviewing the affidavit the court finds that the proffered information is new, material, and significant evidence that was not publicly available before the agency's decision and that is relevant to the decision or to the adequacy of the agency's environmental review, the court shall remand the new evidence to the agency for the agency's consideration and an opportunity to modify its decision or environmental review before the court considers the evidence as a part of the administrative record under review.

(iii) If the court finds that the information in the affidavit does not meet the requirements of subsection (6)(b)(i), the court may not remand the matter to the agency or consider the proffered information in making its decision.

(c) (i) The remedies provided in this section for successful challenges to a decision of the agency or the adequacy of the statement are exclusive.

(ii) Notwithstanding the provisions of 27-19-201 and 27-19-314, a court having considered the pleadings of parties and intervenors opposing a request for a temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, permanent injunction, or other equitable relief may not enjoin the issuance or effectiveness of a license or permit or a part of a license or permit issued pursuant to Title 75 or Title 82 unless the court specifically finds that the party requesting the relief is more likely than not to prevail on the merits of its complaint given the uncontroverted facts in the record and applicable law and, in the absence of a temporary restraining order, a preliminary injunction, a permanent injunction, or other equitable relief, that the:

(A) party requesting the relief will suffer irreparable harm in the absence of the relief;

(B) issuance of the relief is in the public interest. In determining whether the grant of the relief is in the public interest, a court:

(I) may not consider the legal nature or character of any party; and

(II) shall consider the implications of the relief on the local and state economy and make written

findings with respect to both.

(C) relief is as narrowly tailored as the facts allow to address both the alleged noncompliance and the irreparable harm the party asking for the relief will suffer. In tailoring the relief, the court shall ensure, to the extent possible, that the project or as much of the project as possible can go forward while also providing the relief to which the applicant has been determined to be entitled.

(d) The court may issue a temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, permanent injunction, or other injunctive relief only if the party seeking the relief provides a written undertaking to the court in an amount reasonably calculated by the court as adequate to pay the costs and damages sustained by any party that may be found to have been wrongfully enjoined or restrained by a court through a subsequent judicial decision in the case. If the party seeking an injunction or a temporary restraining order objects to the amount of the written undertaking for any reason, including but not limited to its asserted inability to pay, that party shall file an affidavit with the court that states the party's income, assets, and liabilities in order to facilitate the court's consideration of the amount of the written undertaking that is required. The affidavit must be served on the party enjoined.

(e) An individual or entity seeking a lease, permit, license, certificate, or other entitlement or authority to act may intervene in a lawsuit in court challenging a decision or statement by a department or agency of the state as a matter of right if the individual or entity has not been named as a defendant.

(f) Attorney fees or costs may not be awarded to the prevailing party in an action alleging noncompliance or inadequate compliance with a requirement of parts 1 through 3.

(7) For purposes of judicial review, to the extent that the requirements of this section are inconsistent with the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act, the requirements of this section apply to an environmental review or any severable portion of an environmental review within the state's jurisdiction that is being prepared by a state agency pursuant to this part in conjunction with a federal agency proceeding pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act.

(8) The director of the agency responsible for the determination or recommendation shall endorse in writing any determination of significance made under subsection (1)(b)(iv) or any recommendation that a determination of significance be made.

(9) A project sponsor may request a review of the significance determination or recommendation

made under subsection (8) by the appropriate board, if any. The appropriate board may, at its discretion, submit an advisory recommendation to the agency regarding the issue. The period of time between the request for a review and completion of a review under this subsection may not be included for the purposes of determining compliance with the time limits established for environmental review in 75-1-208."

Section 2. Appropriation. There is appropriated \$500 from the general fund to the department of environmental quality for the biennium beginning July 1, 2023, to update guidance documents related to 75-1-201.

Section 3. Severability. If a part of [this act] is invalid, all valid parts that are severable from the invalid part remain in effect. If a part of [this act] is invalid in one or more of its applications, the part remains in effect in all valid applications that are severable from the invalid applications.

Section 4. Coordination instruction. If both House Bill No. 641 and [this act] are passed and approved, then House Bill No. 641 is void.

Section 5. Effective date. [This act] is effective on passage and approval.

- END -

I hereby certify that the within bill,
HB 971, originated in the House.

Chief Clerk of the House

Speaker of the House

Signed this _____ day
of _____, 2023.

President of the Senate

Signed this _____ day
of _____, 2023.

HOUSE BILL NO. 971

INTRODUCED BY J. KASSMIER, M. REGIER, M. BINKLEY, T. SMITH, G. PARRY, P. GREEN

AN ACT GENERALLY REVISING THE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT; CLARIFYING AND EXCLUDING THE USE OF GREENHOUSE GAS EVALUATIONS; PROVIDING AN APPROPRIATION; AMENDING SECTION 75-1-201, MCA; AND PROVIDING AN IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Michael D. Russell, hereby certify that I have served true and accurate copies of the foregoing Notice - Notice to the following on 05-10-2023:

Dustin Alan Richard Leftridge (Attorney)

345 First Avenue East

Montana

Kalispell MT 59901

Representing: Eva L, Nathaniel K, Kathryn Grace S, Jeffrey K, Kian T, Mika K, Georgianna F, Rikki Held, Lander B, Olivia V, Sariel S, Badge B

Service Method: eService

Roger M. Sullivan (Attorney)

345 1st Avenue E

MT

Kalispell MT 59901

Representing: Eva L, Nathaniel K, Kathryn Grace S, Jeffrey K, Kian T, Mika K, Georgianna F, Rikki Held, Lander B, Olivia V, Sariel S, Badge B

Service Method: eService

Barbara L Chillcott (Attorney)

103 Reeder's Alley

Helena MT 59601

Representing: Eva L, Nathaniel K, Kathryn Grace S, Jeffrey K, Kian T, Mika K, Georgianna F, Rikki Held, Lander B, Olivia V, Sariel S, Badge B

Service Method: eService

Melissa Anne Hornbein (Attorney)

103 Reeder's Alley

Helena MT 59601

Representing: Eva L, Nathaniel K, Kathryn Grace S, Jeffrey K, Kian T, Mika K, Georgianna F, Rikki Held, Lander B, Olivia V, Sariel S, Badge B

Service Method: eService

Austin Miles Knudsen (Govt Attorney)

215 N. Sanders

Helena MT 59620

Representing: Montana Public Service Commission, State of Montana, Steve Bullock, Mt Dept. Of Natural Resources And Conservation, Greg Gianforte, Montana Department of Transportation

Service Method: eService

Mark L. Stermitz (Attorney)
304 South 4th St. East
Suite 100
Missoula MT 59801

Representing: Montana Public Service Commission, State of Montana, Montana Department of Environmental Quality, Steve Bullock, Mt Dept. Of Natural Resources And Conservation, Greg Gianforte, Montana Department of Transportation
Service Method: eService

Emily Jones (Attorney)
115 North Broadway
Suite 410
Billings MT 59101

Representing: Montana Public Service Commission, State of Montana, Montana Department of Environmental Quality, Steve Bullock, Mt Dept. Of Natural Resources And Conservation, Greg Gianforte, Montana Department of Transportation
Service Method: eService

Thane P. Johnson (Govt Attorney)
215 N SANDERS ST
P.O. Box 201401
HELENA MT 59620-1401
Representing: State of Montana
Service Method: eService

Lee M. McKenna (Attorney)
1520 E. Sixth Ave.
HELENA MT 59601-0908
Representing: Montana Department of Environmental Quality
Service Method: eService

Julia A Olson (Attorney)
1216 Lincoln St
Eugene 97401
Representing: Eva L, Nathaniel K, Kathryn Grace S, Jeffrey K, Kian T, Mika K, Georgianna F, Rikki Held, Lander B, Olivia V, Sariel S, Badge B
Service Method: Email

Philip L. Gregory (Attorney)
1250 Godetia Drive
Redwood City 94062
Representing: Eva L, Nathaniel K, Kathryn Grace S, Jeffrey K, Kian T, Mika K, Georgianna F, Rikki Held, Lander B, Olivia V, Sariel S, Badge B
Service Method: Email

Andrea K. Rodgers (Attorney)
1216 Lincoln St.
Eugene 97401

Representing: Eva L, Nathaniel K, Kathryn Grace S, Jeffrey K, Kian T, Mika K, Georgianna F, Rikki Held, Lander B, Olivia V, Sariel S, Badge B
Service Method: Email

Nathan Bellinger (Attorney)
1216 Lincln St.
Eugen 97401
Representing: Rikki Held
Service Method: Email

Electronically signed by Dia Lang on behalf of Michael D. Russell
Dated: 05-10-2023