Melissa Hornbein
Barbara Chillcott
Western Environmental Law Center
103 Reeder's Alley
Helena, MT 59601
(406) 708-3058
hornbein@westernlaw.org
chillcott@westernlaw.org

Roger Sullivan
Dustin Leftridge
McGarvey Law
345 1st Avenue East
Kalispell, MT 59901
(406) 752-5566
rsullivan@mcgarveylaw.com
dleftridge@mcgarveylaw.com

Nathan Bellinger (pro hac vice)
Andrea Rodgers (pro hac vice)
Julia Olson (pro hac vice)
Our Children's Trust
1216 Lincoln Street
Eugene, OR 97401
(413) 687-1668
nate@ourchildrenstrust.org
andrea@ourchildrenstrust.org
julia@ourchildrenstrust.org

FILED

FEB 2 8 2023

ANGIE SPARKS, Clerk of District Court
By Clerk

Philip L. Gregory (pro hac vice) Gregory Law Group 1250 Godetia Drive Redwood City, CA 94062 (650) 278-2957 pgregory@gregorylawgroup.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

MONTANA FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT LEWIS AND CLARK COUNTY

RIKKI HELD, et al.,	Cause No. CDV-2020-307
Plaintiffs,	Hon. Kathy Seeley
v.	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION IN LIMINE NO.
STATE OF MONTANA, et al.,	7: REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION RE: SELECT DOCUMENT AUTHENTICITY AND FOUNDATION
Defendants.	

I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 7 seeks to ensure a speedy and efficient bench trial in which the Parties' limited trial time is not consumed by needless evidentiary disputes. The Parties have exchanged a voluminous number of documents during discovery and, through ongoing communication, the Parties have been able to reach agreement as to the authenticity and foundation of a number of these documents. However, despite Plaintiffs' efforts, documented by the submissions of record, Plaintiffs have yet to achieve resolution with Defendants as to the authenticity and foundation of all of the documents in Appendix A. To reiterate, Appendix A consists of only 150 documents, which are sourced from various agencies of the State. In response to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 7, Defendants state that, "in theory, they do not oppose Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 7...." Doc. 291 at 18. However, the prospects of consummating a stipulation are unlikely until and unless Defendants simply complete their review of the 150 documents, whose review has been pending with Defendants for months.

II. <u>ARGUMENT</u>

Plaintiffs' reply can be brief. Defendants take no issue with the compelling legal authorities presented in Plaintiffs' opening brief, nor could they. Notwithstanding (1) the substantial documentation submitted by Plaintiffs demonstrating their sustained efforts to achieve a stipulation, Doc. 272 at 5-8; and (2) the legal authority providing for the documents' authentication and foundation, *id.* at 10-12, the gravamen of Defendants' incredulous response is that the ball is in *Plaintiffs*' court to engage in a "narrowing" of the documents, *after* which Defendants will finally undertake review. Doc. 291 at 19. That is putting the proverbial cart before the horse. If Defendants have a substantive objection as to the <u>relevance</u> of a particular document listed in

Appendix A, as they hypothesize they might, *id.*, then the proposed Stipulation and/or Proposed Order clearly reserves Defendants' right to so object.

III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

For several months, Defendants have promised Plaintiffs that they are reviewing the documents listed on Appendix A for finalizing a proposed Stipulation and/or Proposed Order as to the admission of those documents. Plaintiffs continue to believe that, if Defendants would complete their review of the documents in Appendix A, then the Parties would be capable of reaching a complete document stipulation as to the authenticity, foundation, and admissibility of these documents prior to the Pre-Trial Conference on April 27. While Plaintiffs are willing to withdraw this Motion should the Parties reach such a Stipulation and/or Proposed Order, based on Defendants' response to this Motion, this effort will apparently not come to fruition without the requested Order in Limine No. 7.

DATED this 28th day of February, 2023.

/s/ Barbara Chillcott

Barbara Chillcott
Melissa Hornbein
Western Environmental Law Center
103 Reeder's Alley
Helena, MT 59601
(406) 708-3058
hornbein@westernlaw.org
chillcott@westernlaw.org

Roger Sullivan
Dustin Leftridge
McGarvey Law
345 1st Avenue East
Kalispell, MT 59901
(406) 752-5566
rsullivan@mcgarveylaw.com
dleftridge@mcgarveylaw.com

Nathan Bellinger (pro hac vice)

Andrea Rodgers (pro hac vice)
Julia Olson (pro hac vice)
Our Children's Trust
1216 Lincoln Street
Eugene, OR 97401
(413) 687-1668
nate@ourchildrenstrust.org
andrea@ourchildrenstrust.org
julia@ourchildrenstrust.org

Philip L. Gregory (pro hac vice) Gregory Law Group 1250 Godetia Drive Redwood City, CA 94062 (650) 278-2957 pgregory@gregorylawgroup.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was delivered by email to the following on February 28, 2023:

AUSTIN KNUDSEN

Montana Attorney General
215 North Sanders
P.O. Box 201401
Helena, MT 59620-1401
Phone: 406-444-2026
Fax: 406-444-3549

MICHAEL RUSSELL
THANE JOHNSON
Assistant Attorneys General
215 North Sanders
P.O. Box 201401
Helena, MT 59620-1401
Telephone: (406) 444-2026
michael.russell@mt.gov
thane.johnson@mt.gov

EMILY JONES
Special Assistant Attorney General
Jones Law Firm, PLLC
115 N. Broadway, Suite 410
Billings, MT 59101
Phone: 406-384-7990
emily@joneslawmt.com

MARK L. STERMITZ Crowley Fleck PLLP 305 S. 4th Street E., Suite 100 Missoula, MT 59801 Phone: 406-523-3600 mstermitz@crowleyfleck.com

SELENA Z. SAUER Crowley Fleck PLLP 1667 Whitefish Stage Road Kalispell, MT 59901 ssauer@crowleyfleck.com

/s/ Barbara Chillcott
Barbara Chillcott